



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/803,360	03/12/2001	Werner Zagler	951/49628	4213

7590 03/13/2002

CROWELL & MORING LLP  
Intellectual Property Group  
P. O. Box 14300  
Washington, DC 20044-4300

EXAMINER
----------

LIEU, JULIE BICHNGOC

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2632

DATE MAILED: 03/13/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

09/803,360

Applicant(s)

ZAGLER, WERNER

Examiner

Julie Lieu

Art Unit

2632

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 March 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120**

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All   b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 4203512C1 (cited by the applicant).

#### Claim 5:

DE 42 02 512 (herein after '512) discloses a system for facilitating entry into or out of a motor vehicle having at least one vehicle door, in which window is lowerable and closeable and to which an opening/closing detecting device is assigned, the system comprising:

- a. An unlocking device (release switch)

Art Unit: 2632

b. A control device for controlling window actuator

Wherein the control device has inputs which receive a signal reflecting an unlock command and a signal which corresponds to a door opening or closing action, the control device operating the actuator to completely lower the window of the vehicle door when an unlock command has been received and the vehicle door has been opened simultaneously. See abstract.

The double unlock command is not used in '512. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use double door release command as desired because it is only a choice in design to differentiate between functions. For example, vehicles with remote door lock/unlock functions used to unlock all doors upon the actuation of the unlock button once, but nowadays, a single unlock command would unlock the driver door and the use of a double unlock command is used to differentiate from the single unlock command to unlock all doors. Therefore, a skilled artisan would have used the double unlock command to allow to the system in '512 to recognize that additional function, other than to unlock the doors, is desired.

Claim 6:

It is not clear in '512 whether the control device operates the actuator to completely close the window of the vehicle door after the door is closed. Nonetheless, one skilled in the art would have readily recognized that most likely the window should be completely raised while the door is closed for safety reasons, such as theft or rain, unless it is desired to be lowered by the driver while operating the vehicle or for some particular purposes while the vehicle is parked.

Claim 7:

It is inherent that the system in '512 would have an anti-squeeze device which monitors the closing operating of the window.

Art Unit: 2632

Claim 8:

Though '512 does not include a remote door lock/unlock device, it is conventionally used nowadays with most vehicles. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the system in '512 to be used with a remote door lock/unlock device. All door unlock/lock remote control devices comprise a door command point.

Claims 1-4:

The rejection of claims 1-4 recites the rejection of claim 5-8 except they are method claims.

Claim 9:

The rejection of claim 9 recites the rejection of claim 1, except it is a software which is inherently disclosed in '512 for the system to carry out those functions.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Julie Lieu whose telephone number is 703-308-6738. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thursday, 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Hofsass can be reached on 703-305-4717. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Application/Control Number: 09/803,360

Page 5

Art Unit: 2632



Julie Lieu  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2632

jl  
March 6, 2002